## **Tutorial 10 Exercise Solutions**

## COMP526: Efficient Algorithms

## 09-10 December, 2024

**Exercise 1.** In our lectures on parallel algorithms, we saw a PRAM algorithm that solves string matching for searching for a pattern P[0..m) in a text T[0..n) with span  $\Theta(m)$  and work  $\Theta(n)$ . The output of this algorithm, however, was different from the original setting of pattern matching we discussed earlier in the semester. In particular, the output of a parallel algorithm was an array M[0..n) such that M[i] = 1 if T contains a match to P at index i and M[i] = 0 otherwise.

- (a) Devise a PRAM algorithm that modifies the array *M* such that after applying your algorithm, *M*[*n*−1] stores the total number of matches of *P* in *T*. The span of your procedure should be *O*(log *n*) and its work should be *O*(*n*). (*Hint: try a divide and conquer approach.*)
- (b) Explain how your procedure from part (a) can be modified (or extended) to produce the index of the first instance of *P* in *T* (assuming there is a match). The span and work of the updated procedure should be (asymptotically) no worse than your first procedure.

For simplicity, you may assume that *n*, the length of the text, is a power of 2, say  $n = 2^k$ .

*Solution.* For the first part, first observe that the total number of occurrences of *P* in *T* is the sum of the entries in *M*. This is because match of *P* in *T* corresponds to exactly one 1-entry in *M*. Therefore, our goal for the first part is to update *M* such that M[n-1] is the sum of the original values in *M*.

Following the suggestion to use the divide and conquer approach, a natural way of dividing the array M would be to split it in half by index. We can sum the values in M[0..n/2) and M[n/2..n) independently of each other, then add the two sums to get the total number of 1s in M. A recursive implementation of this approach would give the following procedure:

- 1: **procedure** SUM( $M[\ell..r)$ ) Sum the elements of M from indices  $\ell$  to r-1 and store the result at M[r-1]
- 2:if  $r = \ell + 1$  return3: $m \leftarrow (\ell + r)/2$ 4: $SUM(M[\ell, m))$ 5:SUM(M[m, r)]6:M[r-1] = M[m-1] + M[r-1]7:end procedure

Note that the depth of recursion for this solution is  $\Theta(\log n)$ . Moreover, the recursive calls can be performed in parallel, as they are independent of each other.

In order to analyze the work and span of a parallelized variant of the SUM procedure, it is instructive to write a non-recursive version of the same procedure that performs the same operations of SUM. To this end, consider the operations performed by SUM at depth k - 1 (where  $n = 2^k$ ). In this case the two recursive calls to SUM don't do anything, so only Line 6 has any effect. Specifically, after all calls at depth k - 1 are completed, the effect is that

$$M[1] \leftarrow M[0] + M[1]$$

$$M[3] \leftarrow M[2] + M[3]$$

$$M[5] \leftarrow M[4] + M[5]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$M[n-1] \leftarrow M[n-2] + M[n-1]$$

Similarly, at depth k - 2, the values are updated as follows:

$$\begin{split} M[3] \leftarrow M[1] + M[3] \\ M[7] \leftarrow M[5] + M[7] \\ M[11] \leftarrow M[9] + M[11] \\ \vdots \\ M[n-1] \leftarrow M[n-3] + M[n-1] \end{split}$$

More generally, at depth k - d, each index *i* that is one less than a multiple of  $2^d$  is updated to the sum  $M[i] + M[i - 2^{d-1}]$ . After this operation, M[i] stores the sum the original entries of  $M[i - 2^d + 1..i]$ .

Unrolling the recursive computations in this way, we obtain the following parallel procedure:

```
1: procedure PARALLELSUM(M[0..2^k), n = 2^k)

2: for d = 1, 2, ..., k do

3: w \leftarrow 2^d \triangleright the width of the subinterval being summed

4: for i = w - 1, 2w - 1, ..., n - 1 in parallel do

5: M[i] \leftarrow M[i] + M[i - w/2]

6: end for

7: end for

8: end procedure
```

To analyze the span of the procedure, observe that the inner loop (lines 4–6) has span  $\Theta(1)$  because all operations are performed in parallel. The iterations of the outer loop (lines 2–7) are performed sequentially, but there are only log *n* iterations performed, each with span O(1) Thus, the overall span is  $\Theta(\log n)$ . For the work, note that for  $w = 2^d$ , there are  $n/2^d$  iterations of the inner loop, and iteration does  $\Theta(1)$  work. Summing over the iterations of the outer loop, we find the number of iterations performed is

$$\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{4} + \dots + 1 = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{n}{2^j} < n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = n.$$

Thus, the total work is  $\Theta(n)$ .

To modify the procedure to find first index where *P* matches *T*, note that we are searching for the first index *i* for which M[i] > 0. We can use the array *M* produced by running PARALLELSUM. Specifically, after running PARALLELSUM, for any odd positive integer *c*,  $M[c2^d - 1]$  stores the number matches between indices  $(c - 1)2^d$  and  $c2^d - 1$ . To find the smallest index *i* with M[i] > 0, we can perform binary search, starting with  $j = n - 1 = 2^k - 1$ . An iterative version of binary search is implemented with the following pseudocode:

1: procedure FIRSTMATCH(M[0..n),  $n = 2^k$ ) 2:  $j \leftarrow n - 1$ 3: for  $w = 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-2}, \dots, 1$  do 4: if M[j - w] > 0 then 5:  $j \leftarrow j - w$ 6: end if 7: end for 8: end procedure

This procedure runs in  $\Theta(k) = \Theta(\log n)$  sequential steps from a single processors. Thus, performing this after running PARALLELSUM has an overall span of  $\Theta(\log n)$  and an additional  $\Theta(\log n)$  work.

**Exercise 2.** Consider the text T = abbabbaa\$. What is n here? (Exactly follow the convention from the lecture!) Construct/draw the

- (a) standard (not compacted) trie of all suffixes of *T*,
- (b) suffix tree of *T* (human version) with string labels on edges and leaves,
- (c) suffix tree of *T* (computer version) as it is stored, i.e., offsets in nodes, starting index in leaves, first characters on edges.

Solution. The value of n is 8. The trees are drawn on the following page.

