Tutorial 4 Exercise Solutions

COMP526: Efficient Algorithms

28-29 October, 2024

Exercise 1. Starting from an empty binary search tree *T*, suppose the following elements are added in the specified order:

- (a) Draw the *T* after all of the insertions have been completed.
- (b) Indicate the height of every vertex in the tree.
- (c) Indicate on your picture all of the vertices that are *not* height balanced.
- (d) Find a single rotation that can be performed to result in a height balanced tree, and draw the state of the tree after performing the rotation, along with the new heights of every vertex in the tree.

Solution. Here is the state of *T* after adding the elements. The heights are draw above each vertex, and the unbalanced vertices are colored red.



We can perform a single left rotation at vertex 15 to fix the imbalance. The resulting tree is shown below, with the new heights of each vertex labeled above them.



Exercise 2. Suppose we represent a binary (search) tree as the class BST, where each vertex is represented by a NODE class as follows:

1:	class Node	13:	$u \leftarrow v$
2:	Node Parent	14:	if <i>x</i> < KEY(<i>v</i>) then
3:	NODE LEFTCHILD	15:	$v \leftarrow \text{LeftChild}(v)$
4:	NODE RIGHTCHILD	16:	else
5:	<i>integer</i> HEIGHT	17:	$v \leftarrow \text{RightChild}(v)$
6:	KEY	18:	end if
7:	end class	19:	end while
8:	class BST	20:	if $v \neq \perp$ then
9:	Node <i>root</i>	21:	return <i>v</i>
10:	procedure $FIND(x)$ >	22:	else
	Return the NODE storing KEY <i>x</i> , or the	23:	return <i>u</i>
	NODE at which the search fails if there	24:	end if
	is no NODE with KEY = x .	25:	end procedure
11:	$u, v \leftarrow root$	26:	end class
12:	while $v \neq \perp$ and $x \neq KEY(v)$ do		

Write pseudocode implementing the following functions:

- (a) UPDATEHEIGHT(v) that updates the height of NODE v in the tree, assuming its children's heights are correct.
- (b) INSERT(x) that inserts a new element with KEY = x if x is not already stored in the BST, and does nothing if x is already stored in the BST. Additionally, INSERT should update the heights of all vertices that changed as a result of inserting x in O(h) time, where h is the height of the tree. (Hint: use the output of FIND so that you aren't reproducing the code there!)
- (c) ROTATELEFT(v) that performs left rotation at vertex v (as depicted below). What is the running time of ROTATELEFT?





Solution. Here is pseudocode for UPDATEHEIGHT. Note that its running time is O(1):

- 1: **procedure** UPDATEHEIGHT(*v*)
- 2: $h \leftarrow \text{HEIGHT}(\text{LEFTCHILD}(v))$
- 3: $h \leftarrow \max\{h, \text{HEIGHT}(\text{RIGHTCHILD}(v))\}$
- 4: HEIGHT(v) $\leftarrow 1 + h$
- 5: end procedure

For INSERT(x), the following procedure uses the output of FIND to determine if x is already stored in T. If not, it creates a new NODE v to store x. To update the heights, it iterates over v's ancestors and updates their heights. Note that only ancestors of v may need to update their height, so the procedure iterates over at most h such ancestors.

```
1: procedure INSERT(x)
2:
        u \leftarrow \text{FIND}(x)
3:
        if then KEY(u) = x
                                                 \triangleright u will become x's parent if x is not in the BST
                                                                              \triangleright x is already in the BST
 4:
            return
5:
        end if
6: end procedure
7: v ← new NODE
8: KEY(v) \leftarrow x, HEIGHT(v) \leftarrow 0
9: PARENT(v) \leftarrow u
10: if x < KEY(u) then
                                               \triangleright Determine if v should be right or left child of u
11:
        LEFTCHILD(u) \leftarrow v
12: else
13:
        RIGHTCHILD(u) \leftarrow u
14: end if
15: while u \neq \perp and HEIGHT(u) < 1 + HEIGHT(v) do \triangleright Update heights fo v's ancestors
        UPDATEHEIGHT(u)
16:
17:
        v \leftarrow u
        u \leftarrow \text{PARENT}(u)
18:
19: end while
    Finally, ROTATELEFT can be performed in O(1) time:
 1: w_1 \leftarrow \text{LEFTCHILD}(v)
                                                         5: PARENT(v) \leftarrow u
2: w_2 \leftarrow \text{LEFTCHILD}(u)
                                                         6: PARENT(w_2) \leftarrow v
3: w_3 \leftarrow \text{RIGHTCHILD}(u)
                                                         7: RIGHTCHILD(v) \leftarrow w_2
```

```
4: PARENT(u) \leftarrow PARENT(v)
```

Exercise 3. An array *a* of length *n* storing integer values is called *bitonic* if there is an index *b* with 0 < b < n such that *a* is increasing for indices 0, 1, ..., b and decreasing for indices b, b+1, ..., n-1. That is, if i < b, we have a[i] < a[i+1] and if $b \le i < n-1$, then a[i] > a[i+1]. We say *a* is *tritonic* if there are indices *b* and *c*, with 0 < b < c < n-1 such that *a* is (1) increasing between indices 0 and *b*, (2) decreasing between indices *b* and *c*, and (3) increasing between indices *c* and n-1.

- (a) If *a* is bitonic of length *n*, explain how you can find *b* in time $O(\log n)$.
- (b) (challenge) If *a* is tritonic, explain why finding *b* takes $\Omega(n)$ time in the worst case.

Solution. For part (a), we can use binary search. Given any index i < n - 1, we can determine if i < b by checking if a[i] < a[i + 1]. The following variant of binary search will do the trick:

1: procedure BINARYSEARCH

2:	$i \leftarrow 0, k \leftarrow n-1$
3:	while $i < j$ do
4:	$j \leftarrow \lfloor (i+k)/2 \rfloor$
5:	if $a[j] > a[j+1]$ then
6:	$k \leftarrow j$
7:	else
8:	$i \leftarrow j$
9:	end if
10:	end while
11:	return <i>i</i> + 1

```
12: end procedure
```

For part (b), consider the following tritonic arrays. For k = 0, 1, ..., n - 2, define the array a_k by

$$a_{k}[i] = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } k \neq i, i+1 \\ k+1 & \text{if } i=k \\ k & \text{if } i=k+1 \end{cases}$$

That is, each a_k consists of the the elements 0, 1, ..., n-1 in sorted order, except values k and k+1 are swapped. Notice that any two arrays a_k and a_ℓ differ at at most four indices. Notice that a_k is tritonic with b = k and c = k+1.

Now consider any algorithm *A* that finds the index b = k for any tritonic array *a*. We argue by contradiction that *A* must read at least $\Omega(n)$ values of *a* in the worst case. To this end, suppose that *A* reads fewer than n/2 - 2 values of *a* for all input. Consider the process of constructing an array *a* in response to *A*'s accesses to *a* as follows: whenever *A* accesses a[i], we set the value $a[i] \leftarrow i$. Since *A* used fewer than n/2 - 2 values, there are still n/2 + 2 values that *A* never read before producing its output. Among these unread values, there are two distinct indices *i* and *j* such that *A* did not the values a[i], a[i+1], a[j], or a[j+1]. Therefore, both a_i and a_j are consistent with the accesses made by *A*. If *A* outputs b = i, then complete $a \leftarrow a_j$ so that *A* also produces

▷ This is precisely when $k \ge b$

the incorrect output. Therefore, if *A* uses fewer than n/2 - 2 accesses to *a*, it cannot correctly find *b*. Thus, *A* must use at least $n/2 - 1 = \Omega(n)$ accesses to *a*.

Exercise 4. In lecture, we showed that building a binary heap containing n values can be performed in $O(n \log n)$ time by simply adding elements to the heap (represented as an array) using the BUBBLEUP procedure. Consider the following alternative HEAPIFY method that turns an arbitrary array into a heap:

- 1: **procedure** HEAPIFY(a, n) \triangleright a is an array of size n
- 2: $h \leftarrow \lceil \log_2 n \rceil > h$ is the height of the tree representation of the heap
- 3: **for** $\ell = h 1, h 2, ..., 0$ **do** \triangleright Iterate over levels of the tree representation of the heap, from farthest from the root to closest to the root.
- 4: **for** $i = 2^{\ell} 1, 2^{\ell}, \dots, 2^{\ell+1} 2$ **do** \triangleright Iterate over the vertices at level ℓ , i.e., the vertices at distance ℓ from the root
 - TRICKLEDOWN(a, i)
- 6: end for

5:

- 7: **end for**
- 8: end procedure

That is, HEAPIFY iterates over the heap elements from lowest level (farthest from the root) to highest level (ending at the root) and calls TRICKLEDOWN on each of the elements.

- (a) Argue that after calling HEAPIFY(*a*), *a* is a binary heap (i.e., satisfies the heap property).
- (b) Argue that the running time of HEAPIFY(*a*) is $\Theta(n)$.

You may assume that TRICKLEDOWN(*a*, *i*) obeys the following properties:

- 1. If TRICKLEDOWN(*a*, *i*) is called from an index *i* corresponding to level ℓ in the heap (i.e., *i* is at distance ℓ from the root), then it terminates after $c \cdot (h \ell)$ operations.
- 2. If the the descendants of *i*'s children satisfy the heap property, then after calling TRICKLEDOWN(a, i), i and its descendants satisfy the heap property as well.

Additionally, you may find the following equation useful: $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{2^k} = 2$.

Solution. For part (a), we argue by induction that after iteration ℓ of the outer loop, the heap property is satisfied for all values at levels $\ell' \ge \ell$. Before the first loop (i.e., $\ell = h$) this is satisfied because no vertex at level h has any children.

For the inductive step, we use property 2 of TRICKLEDOWN. Specifically, by the inductive hypothesis, all of indices at levels $\geq \ell$ satisfy the heap property. Therefore, after calling TRICKLEDOWN(*a*, *i*) from an index *i* at level $\ell - 1$, *i* and all of its descendants satisfy the heap property as well.

Applying the conclusion at $\ell = 0$, the entire array satisfies the heap property, as desired.

For part (b), we appeal to property 1 of TRICKLEDOWN above. First observe that for each level $\ell = 0, 1, ..., h$ there are at most 2^{ℓ} indices in level ℓ . Further, for each index

i at level ℓ , the running time t(i) of TRICKLEDOWN at index *i* is at most $c \cdot (h - \ell)$. The total running time is $t = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t(i)$. We can break this sum up summing over the layers of the heap:

$$t = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t(i)$$

$$\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{h} 2^{\ell} \cdot c \cdot (h - \ell) \qquad \text{(by property 1 of HEAPIFY)}$$

$$= c2^{h} \sum_{k=0}^{h} \frac{k}{2^{k}} \qquad \text{rewriting the sum}$$

$$< c2^{h} \sum_{k=0}^{h} \frac{k}{2^{k}}$$

$$\leq 2c2^{h} = 2cn.$$

Therefore, the running time is 2cn = O(n). Since the procedure reads all values of *a*, the running time is $\Omega(n)$ as well, so that the overall running time is $\Theta(n)$.