Due: Friday, 04/14/2023 at 11:59 pm

Instructions: You may work on this assignment in groups of up to 3 and submit a single solution for your group. All group members are responsible for understanding all submitted solutions.

Exercise 1. Consider the following histories of executions of read/write registers (variables), r and s. Note that the *result* of the **read** operations are written as arguments so that, for example, r.read(3) means that a process read 3 as the value of r.



Please explain your answers to the following questions.

- (1) Restricting attention *only* to register **r**, is the execution sequentially consistent? Linearizable?
- (2) Restricting attention *only* to register **s**, is the execution sequentially consistent? Linearizable?
- (3) Is the entire execution (including both registers) sequentially consistent? Linearizable?

Exercise 2. Consider the following queue implementation, IQueue. For simplicity, assume that the array items is unbounded.

```
public class IQueue<T> {
1
       AtomicInteger head = new AtomicInteger(0);
2
       AtomicInteger tail = new AtomicInteger(0);
3
       T[] items = new (T[]) Object[Integer.MAX_VALUE];
4
5
       public void enq(T x) {
6
           int slot;
7
           do {
8
                slot = tail.get();
9
           } while (!tail.compareAndSet(slot, slot+1));
10
           items[slot] = x;
11
```

```
}
12
13
       public T deq() throws EmptyException {
14
            int value;
15
            int slot;
16
            do {
17
                slot = head.get();
18
                value = items[slot];
19
                 if (value == null)
20
                     throw new EmptyException();
21
            } while (!head.compareAndSet(slot, slot+1));
22
            return value;
23
       }
24
   }
25
```

- (a) Describe an execution demonstrating that IQueue is *not* linearizable.
- (b) Is IQueue Lock-free? Wait-free? Why or why not?